

11th International Conference on Hard and Electromagnetic Probes of High-Fnergy Nuclear Collisions

# Disentangling centrality bias and final state effects on high $p_T \pi^0$ using direct $\gamma$ in d+Au at 200 GeV

Axel Drees, Hard Probes 2023, March 2023, Aschaffenburg, Germany

- Introduction
  - Challenges measuring final state effects for high  $p_T \pi^0$  in small systems
  - **Resolution using direct photons to scale hard scattering processes**
- New results from final PHENIX run in 2016 arXiv:2303.12899
  - Previously observed enhancement in peripheral collisions due to event selection bias
  - Final state suppression of 20% in central 0-5% d+Au collisions
- Summary/Outlook

\* Stony Brook University

#### **Challenges to Identify Final State Effects in Small Systems**

#### **Nuclear modification factor:**

$$R_{AB}(p_T) = \frac{Y_{AB}(p_T)}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle Y_{pp}(p_T)}$$

PHENIX: PRC 105 (2022) 064902





- Central: 20% suppression consistent with energy loss
- Peripheral: 15 % enhancement unexplained, likely due to selection bias



• Similar observations at RHIC & LHC

**Inconclusive** R<sub>xA</sub> for high p<sub>T</sub> in small systems Bias or final state effects?

**PHENIX** 

## **Mapping Event Activity to Centrality With Glauber Model**

#### PHENIX: PRC90 (2014) 034902





#### • Procedure for small systems

- Measure event activity (N<sub>ch</sub>) in BBC on Au going side
- Fit event activity to superposition of negative binomial distributions for each nucleon-nucleon collision
- Select events in percentiles of event activity (0-5%, 5-10%, etc.) for data & model
- Assign  $N_{coll}$  from model to data

#### **Bias in Event Activity from Hard Scattering**

- **Reduced forward event activity in** nucleon-nucleon collision with hard scattering
  - **Averaged out in Au+Au collisions**
  - **High p<sub>T</sub> events shifted to lower EA and** lower  $\hat{N}_{coll}$  in small systems
  - Increases  $R_{AB}$  in peripheral events, probably p<sub>T</sub> dependent

**Bias in event selection for** hard probes in small systems

\* Stony Brook University





#### **Use Direct Photons to Minimize Selection Bias**

- No nuclear modification of direct γ
  - Au+Au direct  $\gamma$  scale with  $N_{coll}$

$$R_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{T}) = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{T})}{N_{coll} Y_{pp}^{\gamma^{dir}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{T})} \sim 1$$

Use direct γ to measure factor "N<sub>coll</sub>" to scale hard scattering processes

$$N_{coll}^{EXP} = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_T)}{Y_{pp}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_T)}$$

Redefine Nuclear Modification Factor

$$R_{AB,EXP}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T}) = \frac{Y_{AB}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T})}{Y_{pp}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T})} \times \frac{Y_{pp}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_{T})}{Y_{AB}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_{T})} = \frac{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{AB}}$$

- Insensitive to event selection bias
- No Glauber model dependence

\* Stony Brook University

- Largely insensitive to CNM effects
- Partially accounts for p<sub>T</sub> dependent bias
- Many systematic uncertainties cancel



PHENIX: PRL109 (2012) 152302

=200 GeV

## Search for final state effects simultaneous measure direct $\gamma$ and $\pi^0$

**PH** ENIX

## High $p_T \pi^0$ and $\gamma$ from d+Au at 200 GeV

- Data set 2016 d+Au at 200 GeV
  - Taken with EMCal trigger
  - Corresponding to 50 nb<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\pi^0$  and  $\gamma$  momentum range  $p_T > 7.5$  GeV/c
- Analysis of PHENIX EMCal data
  - Reconstruct  $\gamma$  showers and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
  - Correct  $\pi^0$  spectrum
  - Model hadron decay γ showers in PHENIX
  - Subtract from  $\gamma$  showers raw  $\gamma^{dir}$
  - Correct  $\gamma^{dir}$  spectrum
- Systematic Uncertainties
  - ~ 12% for  $\pi^0$  and  $\gamma^{dir}$ (energy scale 8% and detector material 7%)
  - Reduce to 6% in  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  ratio
  - Uncertainty on  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  common to all centrality selection



#### $\gamma^{dir}$ and $\pi^0$ Yields from d+Au and p+p at 200 GeV



- High  $p_T \gamma^{dir}$  (7.5 < pt < 18 GeV/c)
  - First centrality selected data from d+Au
  - min. bias d+Au data consistent with 2003 data: PHENIX:PRC87(2013)54907
  - **p+p reference from:** *PHENIX:PRD86(2012)72008*



PHENIX

- High  $p_T \pi^0$  (7.5 < pt < 18 GeV/c)
  - **d+Au data from 2016 consistent with 2008 data:** *PHENIX:PRC(2022)64902*
  - **p+p reference data from:** *PHENIX:PRC(2022)64902*

#### $\gamma^{dir}$ to $\pi^0$ Ratio in d+Au and p+p Collisons

 $\gamma^{\text{dir}}/\pi^0$  for inclusive samples (0-100%) •  $\gamma^{\rm dir}/\pi^0$  for different centrality Equal for p+p to d+Au Peripheral events consistent with min. bias p+p systematic dominated by 2003  $\gamma^{dir}$  data 0-5% visibly larger  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  $\gamma^{\text{dir}/\pi^0}$ 0.6⊢ d+Au √s = 200 GeV, |y|<0.35 d+Au √s = 200 GeV, |y|<0.35 0.5 d+Au 00-05% d+Au 05-10% d+Au 10-20% ▲ d+Au 0-100% 0.4 d+Au 20-40% 0.4 d+Au 40-60% p+p d+Au 60-88% 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0 12 10 12 8 10 14 16 8 16 14 p<sub>\_</sub> (GeV/c) p<sub>\_</sub> (GeV/c)

> No or similar modification of  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  for most d+Au selections Different modification for 0-5% central d+Au



Stony Brook University

## **Evaluating Bias in** *N*<sup>*GL*</sup>*coll* **from Glauber Model**



Determine scaling factor  $N_{coll}^{EXP}$  from  $\gamma^{dir}$ 



- **Independent** of **p**<sub>T</sub> for 7.5 to 18 GeV/c
- $N_{coll}^{EXP}$  and  $N_{coll}^{GL}$  consistent within scale uncertainties



0.9  $d+Au \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ 0.8 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 N<sup>EXP</sup> 2 Visible trend in  $N_{coll}^{EXP}$  and  $N_{coll}^{GL}$ 0 with centrality within common scale uncertainties

00

- **Good agreement in central collisions within 5%**
- 15% deviation in peripheral collisions

#### **Bias in event selection: Event activity reduced in presence of hard scattering**

### Nuclear Modification Factor for $\pi^0$ in inclusive d+Au



PH 

- Redefined  $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T)$ 
  - No significant p<sub>T</sub> dependence
  - **Average value:** ٩  $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = 0.92 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.15$
  - **Consistent with unity within 16% scale uncertainty**
  - **Consistent with 5% enhancement from CNM effects\***

#### **Small or no final state** modification in inclusive d+Au

\* From Arleo et al: CNM effects largely cancel in  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  ratio in this p<sub>T</sub> range

## Centrality Dependence of $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T)$



$$R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T}) = \frac{Y_{dAu}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T})}{Y_{pp}^{\pi^{0}}(p_{T})} \times \frac{Y_{pp}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_{T})}{Y_{dAu}^{\gamma^{dir}}(p_{T})} = \frac{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{pp}}{(\gamma^{dir}/\pi^{0})^{dAu}} \quad \text{PH} \in \mathbb{N} \mathbb{I} X$$

Peripheral d+Au collisions

 $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = 0.94 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.16$ 

- Consistent with inclusive d+Au sample
- Central d+Au collisions

 $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^0}(p_T) = 0.75 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13$ 

- Clear suppression of  $\pi^0$  yield
- About 20% relative to inclusive sample

Suppression of  $\pi^0$  in central 0-5% d+Au

## Centrality Dependence of $R_{dAu,EXP}^{\pi^{0}}$



#### **Summary and Outlook**



#### • Key results:

- First evidence for significant 20% final state suppression of high  $p_T \pi^0$  (7.5 to 18 GeV/c) in central 0-5% d+Au collisions
- Previously observed enhancement of  $\pi^0$  in peripheral events due to an event selection bias
- New method to measure effective  $N_{coll}^{Exp}$ :
  - Ratio of  $\gamma^{dir}$  in sample to that in p+p
  - Resolves ambiguity between final state effect CNM effect event selection bias inherent to Glauber model approach

#### Further ongoing investigations:

- Size dependence of final state effect: p+Au < d+Au < <sup>3</sup>He+Au ???
- **Reduce systematic uncertainty on**  $\gamma^{dir}/\pi^0$  from p+p

## Backup





## **Comparison to ALICE limit from Jets**

#### ALICE: PRL109 (2012) 152302



- p+Pb at 5.02 TeV with 0-20% EA
- for charged jet  $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV/c}$
- **ΔE move outside of R=0.4 cone in recoil jet < 0.4 GeV at 90% CL**
- \* Stony Brook University



- **PHENIX**  $\pi^0$  suppression in 0-5% d+Au
  - Assume  $\pi^0$  is leading particle
  - Use momentum loss δp<sub>T</sub> estimate from *PHENIX:PRC93(2016)24911*
  - 20% suppression relative to 0-100%
  - momentum shift  $\delta p_T \sim 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}$



### **Energy Loss in Small Systems?**



\* Stony Brook University

#### **Evidence for QGP Droplets in Small Systems**



nature