





First measurements of in-jet fragmentation and correlations of charmed mesons and baryons in pp collisions with ALICE



Antonio Palasciano on behalf of the ALICE collaboration

Università & INFN, Bari (IT), CERN

Hard Probes, 26-31 March 2023

Physics Motivations

Charm-hadron **production cross section** calculations in pQCD frameworks are based on:



ALICE

. Ĕ

р

Talk:

initial hard parton scattering

- → Azimuthal correlations of charm hadrons with charged particles
 - \rightarrow description of the jet shape and its particle composition
 - -> sensitivity to production mechanisms

A Large Ion Collider Experiment



Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EMCAL): e PID and trigger Time Projection Chamber (TPC): tracking and PID via dE/dx **VO**: trigger and event selection Zero Degree Calorimeter (ZDC): event selection Time Of Flight (TOF): PID via time of flight Inner Tracking System (ITS): tracking, vertexing

D°-jets

 $\rightarrow \textbf{\textit{p}}_{\rm T}^{\rm ~jet}$ is a proxy for the determining the 4-momenta of

the parton (charm) initiating the shower



POWHEG+PYTHIA8 is consistent with data within errors

PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003

POWHEG: JHEP 06 (2010) 043

Aschaffenburg

> PYTHIA8 Soft QCD provides good description of the spectrum





arXiv:2204.10167

3

D°-jets: longitudinal momentum fraction

OBES

Aschaffenburg



First measurement of D_s⁺-jets

Highlight possible differences in the charm fragmentation due to the strange-quark content of the tagged meson

Good compatibility between models and data \succ $(1/N_{jet}) dN/dz_{\parallel}^{ch}$ ICE Preliminary D_s⁺-tagged jets pp √*s* = 13 TeV o Data ----- POWHEG+PYTHIA 6 charged jets, anti- $k_{\rm T}$, R = 0.4PYTHIA 8: $^{\prime} <
ho_{ au}^{
m jet\,ch.} < 15~{
m GeV}/c, ~|\eta_{
m iet\,ch.}| \le 0.5$ ----- Monash - CR-BLC Mode 2 $< p_{T}^{D_s} < 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |y_{D^+}| \le 0.8$ $p_{_{\rm ch\,jet}}$ 2 MC/data 2 .5 0.5Ē 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 Z_{\parallel}^{ch} ALI-PREL-539355 PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003 23 **POWHEG:** JHEP 06 (2010) 043

Aschaffenburg



First measurement of D⁺_jets

Highlight possible differences in the charm fragmentation due to the strange-quark content of the tagged meson



Good compatibility between models and data

Aschaffenburg

 \succ hint of harder fragmentation with respect to D⁰



 Λ_{c}^{\dagger} -jets

 \rightarrow Probe the fragmentation of charm quarks into charm baryons

- slightly harder fragmentation in PYTHIA8 Monash
- good agreement with PYTHIA8 CR-BLC, mode 2





 \rightarrow Probe the fragmentation of charm quarks into charm baryons



 \succ hint of softer fragmentation into Λ_{c}^{+} than D^{0}

Correctly reproduced by PYTHIA8, CR-BLC mode 2

slightly harder fragmentation in PYTHIA8 Monash
 good agreement with PYTHIA8 CR-BLC, mode 2

∧_c⁺-jets

Aschaffenburg

4.5 µ 4.5 µ 4.5 4 ____ **ALICE**, pp, √*s* = 13 TeV 1/N_{jet}) dN/dZ \circ Λ_{c}^{+} -tagged jets Λ_{c}^{+} -tagged jets ALICE, pp, √s = 13 TeV arXiv:2301.13798 o data charged jets, anti- $k_{\rm T}$, R = 0.4D⁰-tagged jets charged jets, anti- $k_{\rm T}$, R = 0.4PYTHIA 8: $7 \le p_{_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{jet \, ch}} < 15 \, \mathrm{GeV}/c, |\eta_{_{\mathrm{iet}}}| \le 0.5$ $7 \le p_{\tau}^{\text{jet ch}} < 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta_{\text{iet}}| \le 0.5$ ---- Monash (1/N_{jet}) - CR-BLC Mode 2 $3.5 = 3 \le p_{\tau}^{h} < 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |y^{h}| \le 0.8$ $3 \le p_{\tau}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}} < 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |y_{\tau}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}| \le 0.8$ $p_{_{
m ch\,jet}}$ 2.5 1.5 $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{\rm +}/D^0$ data 1.5 HIA 8 CR-BLC Mode 2 1.5 MC/data 0.5 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.4 Z_{μ}^{cr} LI-PUB-532884 PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003

 \succ

Hard Probes 2023 - Antonio Palasciano

Azimuthal correlations

Final state particles are studied by means of their angular distribution with respect to the direction of the tagged HF particle.

At LO approximation:

- Near Side (NS): fragmentation of the tagged charm quark;
- Away Side (AS): fragmentation of the other charm quark;
- > Transverse Region: information on the underlying event

NLO production mechanisms, relevant at the LHC energies, can alter this topology

Complementary description to HF-tagged jets granting access to:

- \rightarrow jet shape (angular opening of the jet-cone)
- \rightarrow jet particle composition (multiplicity and p_{T} distribution)





Hard Probes 2023 - Antonio Palasciano

First measurement of Λ_c^+ -h correlations



10



Aschaffenburg

First measurement of Λ_{2}^{+} -h correlations

∧ ⁺- h D mesons - h Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 335 (2022)

From the comparison:

- \rightarrow discrepancy in the low- $p_{\tau}(\Lambda_{a}^{+})$ region
- \rightarrow good agreement between the $\Delta \varphi$ distribution in other kinematic ranges

 $\Sigma_{\rm c}^{0,++}(2455) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rm c}^+ \pi^{\mp}$

 $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \left(\rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \right) \pi^+$



Hard Probes 2023 - Antonio Palasciano



Near-Side characterisation

In general, increasing p_T^{HF} : \rightarrow More energetic parton \rightarrow increasing yields \rightarrow Larger heavy-quark boost \rightarrow more collimated shower \rightarrow sharpening of the peak

Higher NS yields in Λ_c^+ -h than D-h at low- p_{τ} :

- ? different energy of the charm quark as a consequence of a softer Λ_c^+ fragmentation
- ? decay of higher mass charm states

c quark







Comparison with model predictions





➤ yields:

→ tensions with PYTHIA8 predictions → low- $p_{\tau}(\Lambda_{c}^{+})$ not correctly reproduced

➤ widths:

→ generally overestimated, though with large uncertainties

D-h correlation:

 good description from PYTHIA8 and POWHEG+PYTHIA8

Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 335 (2022)





PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003 HERWIG: Eur.Phys.J C76 (2016) 196 POWHEG: JHEP 06 (2010) 043

HFe-h correlations

Correlations between HF semileptonic decay electrons (HFe) and charged particles:

- ✓ large sample of correlation pairs → more differential at high- $p_{_{T}}$
- ✓ address charm and beauty fragmentation
- ~ looser connection to HF quark kinematics

Consistent yields and widths between **pp** and **p–Pb** measurements

 \rightarrow no sizeable impact of CNM effects



arXiv:2303.00591







13

HFe-h: comparison with model predictions





- **EPOS3**: small discrepancies in the highest p_T^{assoc} interval.
- PYTHIA8 Monash: good agreement



EPOS 3: Phys.Rev.C 82(2010)044904 PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003



- EPOS3 slightly overpredicts p-Pb measurements.
- PYTHIA8/Angantyr consistent with data, slightly underestimating the yields

Angantyr: J. High Energ. Phys. (2018)

Beauty contribution to HFe correlations

Strong p_T dependence is observed in the fraction b \rightarrow e/(b+c) \rightarrow e (<u>Phys. Lett. B 738(2014) 97</u>) \rightarrow for p_T (HFe)>7 GeV, about 60% of e comes from beauty

 \rightarrow insight into beauty fragmentation

Increase in NS yield at large p_T^{assoc} for high- p_T e \rightarrow higher energy of the heavy quark

Confirmed by **PYTHIA8** simulations:

 \succ lower yields from **b** \rightarrow **e** than **c** \rightarrow **e**

 \rightarrow harder fragmentation for b quarks than c





EPOS 3: Phys.Rev.C 82(2010)044904 PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003



Conclusions

The in-jet production and fragmentation of **D** mesons was investigated:

- > their production, fragmentation and hadronisation are correctly reproduced by models
 - \rightarrow good theoretical baseline for studies in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions.
- Λ_{c}^{+} measurements have shown:
 - > softer fragmentation function with respect to D⁰ mesons
 - \rightarrow Soft QCD, CR-BLC correctly reproduce the in-jet production ratio Λ_c^+/D^0
 - > discrepancies in Λ_c^+ -h correlations can help constraining Monte Carlo predictions.

HFe-h correlations shed further light on HF-quark showers:

- \succ addressing charm and beauty effects constraining HFe p_{T}
- \succ no sizeable impact of CNM effects in p-Pb collisions was observed with current precision.

Thanks for your attention!









Additional Material



ALICE

arXiv:2204.10167



Hardening of the $p_{\rm T,ch\,iet}$ spectra with increasing centre-of-mass energy.

- \rightarrow PYTHIA SoftQCD correctly reproduce the data
- \rightarrow POWHEG + PYTHIA 8 simulation tends to underestimate the measured cross section ratios



PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003 POWHEG: JHEP 06 (2010) 043

D^o - jets

Λ_{c}^{+} -h correlations: Near-side and Baseline

<u>20⁄\23</u>

HARD PROBES Aschaffenburg











D-h: Near-side comparisons with MC models predictions



Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 335 (2022)

PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003 POWHEG: JHEP 06 (2010) 043 EPOS 3: Phys.Rev.C 82(2010)044904 HERWIG: Eur.Phys.J C76 (2016) 196





HF-e: beauty electrons

arXiv:2204.10167







PYTHIA: JHEP 1508 (2015) 003 POWHEG: JHEP 06 (2010) 043