# The information content of jet quenching and machine learning assisted observable design

We employ machine learning techniques to identify important features that distinguish jets produced in heavy-ion collisions from jets produced in proton-proton collisions [1]

[1] Yue Shi Lai, James Mulligan, Felix Ringer, MP [JHEP 10 (2022) 011]

See also https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.06450

# **Formulation of the problem**

Use machine learning techniques to understand what observable are sensitive to jet quenching

- Prerequisites for a meaningful answer
  - **Completeness of data** <=> ML model reflects the training data
    - => Need clear understanding what questions we are trying to answer
    - => Select the input data appropriately <=> e.g. IF model learns from input missing important elements of the problem it will produce meaningless (or potentially misleading) results
  - Model independent/agnostic methods
  - Human understandable/interpretable result
  - Theoretical understanding



# Formulation of the problem

- Prerequisites for a meaningful answer
  - Completeness of data
  - Model independent/agnostic methods
  - Understandable/interpretable result  $\bullet$
  - Theoretical understanding

# (understandable on theoretical level) of jets in AA from jets in pp?

Use machine learning techniques to understand what observable are sensitive to jet quenching

• ... our approach



 include all relevant effects - e.g. background!



MC independent, use exp. data





formulate observables in connection with a theoretical formalism

What are the **maximally discriminating observables** 





# Formulation of the problem

# "Simple" question: Which jets are quenched and which are not?

A "trivial" answer: Jets in pp are not quenched



# A classification problem



**Figure 1**. Schematic illustration of jets in pp (left) and heavy-ion AA (right) collisions. Interactions with the QGP can lead to a modification of the jet substructure. By training a binary classifier, the machine learns the relevant information that distinguishes jets in pp and AA collisions.





### **Eventually learn from** exp data directly!





# **IRC-safe vs. IRC-unsafe architectures**

Permutation-invariant neural networks based on deep sets

Unordered, variable-length sets of particles as input

### **Particle Flow Network (PFN)**

$$f(p_1, \dots, p_M) = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \Phi\left(p_i\right)\right)$$
  
latent space  $d = 256$   
Classifier DNNs

Includes IRC-unsafe information

- Komiske, Metodiev, Thaler [HEP 01 (2019) 121

Zaheer et al. 1703.06114 Wagstaff et al. 1901.09006 Bloem-Reddy, Teh JMLR 21 90 (2020)

# **Energy Flow Network (EFN)** $f(p_1, \dots, p_M) = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^M z_i \Phi\left(\hat{p}_i\right)\right)$

Includes only IRC-safe information



### **IRC-safe vs. IRC-unsafe physics** Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10 (2022) 011









**Figure 2**. Classification performance of *pp* vs. *AA* jets quantified in terms of ROC curves using IRC-unsafe PFNs and IRC-safe EFNs. The jet samples in pp and AA collisions are obtained from Pythia 8 [70] and Jewel [72, 73].



# Guiding future measurements? Observable design...



Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022)

- 2. Optimize discriminating power vs. complexity (trade d. power for simplicity)



### **Complementary to Bayesian approach**

# Observable design

# Design the most strongly modified observable that is theoretically calculable

### First step in a new paradigm: data-driven design of complete set of calculable observables







Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022)

### Design the most strongly modified observable that is theoretically calculable 2. Optimize discriminating power vs. complexity (trade d. power for simplicity)



First step in a new paradigm: data-driven design of complete set of calculable observables

### **Complementary to Bayesian approach**

# Observable design





### The information content of jet quenching Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022) JEWEL vs. PYTHIA8 $100 < p_{T, iet} < 125 \text{ GeV}$ "Optimal" classifier Input: four-vectors of all jet particles





Jet substructure basis  $\square$  Input: 3M - 4 observables



### Systematic approach: how many observables does one need to measure?



# **Encoding information in terms of observables N-subjetiness basis and Energy Flow Polynomials**



**Figure 4**. ROC curves for jets in *pp* vs. *AA* collisions using the *N*-subjettiness basis. For Figure 6. ROC curves for jets in pp vs. AA collisions using the EFP basis up to degree 7. comparison we also show the result obtained using the classifier based on PFNs.







Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022)

### **Goal:** design a *minimal* set of observables that are theoretically calculable

### Example: design a single observable that is maximally modified

# $G \in \mathcal{G}$

We can determine the coefficients using symbolic regression

Regularization allows us to choose complexity of allowed solution (e.g. how many nonzero coefficients)

# Observable design

 $\mathcal{O}^{ML} = \sum c_G EFP_G$  where  $EFP_G$  are energy flow polynomials

e.g. 
$$\text{EFP}_G = \bigvee_{i_1=1}^{M} \sum_{i_2=1}^{M} \sum_{i_1=1}^{M} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \theta_{i_1,i_2}^2$$

max

 $\frac{d\sigma_{AA}}{d\sigma_{AA}}(c_G) - 1$  $c_G \mid d\sigma_{pp}$ 



# ML-assisted observable design

Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022)

### Lasso regression



Stronger regularization drives  $c_{N\beta}$  to zero

$$\alpha = 0.01$$
  $\longrightarrow$  24 terms  
 $\alpha = 0.1$   $\longrightarrow$  4 terms  
 $\alpha = 0.5$   $\longrightarrow$  1 term

e.g. 
$$(\tau_1^2)^{1.437} (\tau_5^2)^{0.068} (\tau_6^2)^{1.712} \times \dots$$

By training ML classifier and balancing the tradeoff of discriminating power and complexity, we can design the *most strongly modified* calculable observable





**Figure 7**. ROC curves for the Lasso regression using the *N*-subjettiness basis and EFPs. For comparison we also show the result for typical observables in heavy-ion collisions.

# Realistic conditions include BACKRGOUND! (aka heavy-ion underlying event)

### 1. Background <=> Noise => Loss of discrimination power

### 1. Background <=> Noise => Loss of discrimination power

### 2. Finite accuracy of background subtraction => Loss of signal/information

# Jets within heavy-ion events Adding realism to the problem - background and information loss

- **1.** Background <=> Noise => Loss of discrimination power
- 2. Finite accuracy of background subtraction => Loss of signal/information





Figure 9. ROC curves for PFNs trained with (i) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets, (ii) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ , (iii) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, and (iv) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ .



# Jets within heavy-ion events Adding realism to the problem - background and information loss

- **1.** Background <=> Noise => Loss of discrimination power
- 2. Finite accuracy of background subtraction => Loss of signal/information





Figure 9. ROC curves for PFNs trained with (i) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets, (ii) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ , (iii) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, and (iv) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ .





# Jets within heavy-ion events Adding realism to the problem - background and information loss

### **1.** Background <=> Noise => Loss of discrimination power

2. Finite accuracy of background subtraction => Loss of signal/information

### Introduction of the realistic conditions (experimental backgrounds) critical applicability test!

# MLW/OUEI

Figure 9. ROC curves for PFNs trained with (i) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets, (ii) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ , (iii) PYTHIA8/JEWEL jets only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, and (iv) jets clustered from a combination of PYTHIA8/JEWEL events with a thermal background, only considering jet constituents with  $p_T > 1$  GeV, with event-wide constituent subtraction applied  $(R_{\text{max}} = 0.25)$ .









### To what extent does the background destroy discriminating power?



# Experimental guidance from ML

Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10, 011 (2022)

Large, irrecoverable information loss □ Soft physics

Background subtraction algorithm

Delicate challenge: soft information is crucial to discriminate, yet UE fundamentally prevents much of this information from being accessed

1.0

First study quantifying the information loss of background subtraction algorithms





# Information loss due to background

Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10 (2022) 011

### Discriminating power is highly reduced by the fluctuating underlying event



Delicate challenge: soft information crucial, yet background prevents from being accessed

**Background subtraction algorithms** remove small but significant information JEWEL vs. PYTHIA8  $100 < p_{T, jet} < 125 \text{ GeV}$ 1.0 0.8 Rate 0.6 True AA Jet Jet + Background ( $R_{max} = 0.25$ ) 0.2 Jet + Background ( $R_{max} = 1.0$ ) Jet + Background (before subtraction) 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.8 0.4 0.6 1.0 False AA Rate

> New metric to assess background subtraction algorithms





# Conclusions

We propose that each of the three complementary studies in Sections 3-5 can be performed on experimental data:

- measured in the presence of the heavy-ion underlying event.
- effects, and in principle comparing to jet quenching calculations.
- tune subtraction algorithms to minimize information loss.

• Measuring the ROC curve. The measured ROC curve can serve as an observable that can be compared to Monte Carlo event generators. Moreover, the distribution of information content with complete sets of jet substructure observables can provide a differential test of jet quenching models, to the extent that highly soft-sensitive observables, such as high-N N-subjettiness or high-dimension EFPs, can be reliably

• *ML-assisted observable design*. Regardless of whether the classifier is trained on detector-level inputs or corrected inputs, symbolic regression can be used to identify approximate maximally discriminating observables. These identified observables can then be measured with traditional techniques: correcting for detector and background

• Information content and background subtraction techniques. The information loss caused by various background subtraction algorithms can be quantified by comparing classification performance before and after subtraction, and can be used to select and

# Maximizing cold nuclear matter effects

### Goal: extract transport properties of nuclear matter e.g. $\hat{q}$

Ru, Kang, Wang, Xing, Zhang, PRD 103, L031901 (2021) Li, Liu, Vitev, PLB 816, 136261 (2021)

### **Train ML classifier to distinguish** *ep* **vs.** *eA* **jets**

Can use interpretable ML:

- Gain insight about type of information responsible for differences: IRC-safe vs. IRC-unsafe, hard vs. soft
- Design maximally discriminating observables that are calculable in pQCD

$$\max_{\theta} \left| \frac{d\sigma_{eA}}{d\sigma_{ep}}(\theta) - 1 \right| \longrightarrow \int_{\theta}^{\theta} \int_{$$

### **Can be applied directly on experimental data**

Lai, Mulligan, Płoskoń, Ringer JHEP 10 (2022) 011







• BFKL (linear QCD): splitting functions  $\Rightarrow$  gluon density grows • BK (non-linear): recombination of gluons  $\Rightarrow$  gluon density tamed

BK adds:

Unintegrated gluon distribution depends on  $k_T$  and x: the majority of gluons have transverse momentum k<sub>T</sub> ∼ Q<sub>S</sub> (common definition)

0000000

Spin as interna

Lee

Train directly on experimental data:  $\sigma' vs. \sigma' vs. \sigma'$ Can be applied at RHIC, EIC

How does nucle



# Thank you!

# **Encoding information in terms of observables N-subjetiness basis and Energy Flow Polynomials**



**Figure 3**. Scatter plot showing different N-subjettiness distributions (diagonal) and their pairwise correlations (off-diagonal panels) in pp and AA collisions without background. The pp and AAresults shown here are obtained from Pythia 8 [70] and Jewel [72, 73], respectively.



Figure 5. Scatter plot showing different EFP distributions (diagonal) and their pairwise correlations (off-diagonal panels) in pp and AA collisions without background. The pp and AA results shown here are obtained from Pythia 8 [70] and Jewel [72, 73], respectively.





# By balancing the tradeoff of discriminating power and complexity, we can design the *most strongly modified* calculable observable



ML-assisted observable design provides guidance to experiments and theory — can then measure and calculate designed observables using traditional methods