JET SUPPRESSION AND AZIMUTHAL ANISOTROPY AT RHIC AND LHC

Konrad Tywoniuk (University of Bergen)

Hard Probes 2023, Aschaffenburg, 27-31 Mar 2023





JETS IN QCD

- asymptotic freedom: high energy quarks and gluons manifested as collimated sprays of particles and energy.
- jets: well-defined objects in experiment & theory.
- multi-scale & long-distance dynamics.
- powerful probe of the quark-gluon plasma in heavy-ion collisions.











JET FRAGMENTATION IN THE MEDIUM

 \Rightarrow color dynamics in the medium (color coherence...)

 \Rightarrow every color source inside jet resolved by the QGP contribute to energy loss.







Mehtar-Tani, Salgado, KT (2011); Casalderrey-Solana, Iancu (2011); Y. Mehtar-Tani, KT 1706.06047, 1707.07361 Caucal, Iancu, Mueller, Soyez 1801.09703



JET FRAGMENTATION IN THE MEDIUM



 \Rightarrow color dynamics in the medium (color coherence...)



K. Tywoniuk (Bergen U.)

Mehtar-Tani, Salgado, KT (2011); Casalderrey-Solana, Iancu (2011); Y. Mehtar-Tani, KT 1706.06047, 1707.07361 Caucal, Iancu, Mueller, Soyez 1801.09703

- \Rightarrow every color source inside jet resolved by the QGP contribute to energy loss.

Area of red region is multiplicity of in-medium & resolved splittings

$$\Omega_{\rm in}^{\rm DLA} \approx 2 \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \log \frac{R}{\theta_c} \left(\log \frac{p_T}{\omega_c} + \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{R}{\theta_c} \right)$$

Potentially large and calls for **resummation**.





CONE-SIZE DEPENDENCE

Narrow jets



less energy loss BUT **easier** to escape the cone







CONE-SIZE DEPENDENCE

Narrow jets



less energy loss BUT **easier** to escape the cone

 \Rightarrow new handle on medium effects: \hat{q} affects resolution & energy loss







ENERGY LOSS OF SINGLE PARTON

 $Q^{(0)}_{>}($





K. Tywoniuk (Bergen U.)

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff (2001); Salgado, Wiedemann (2003)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_T} = Q_{>}^{(0)}(p_T, R)\hat{\sigma}_{AA\to i}$$

$$(p_T, R) = \exp\left[-\int_{T_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \,\frac{\mathrm{d}I_{>}}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\nu\omega(1 - \Theta(\omega_s - \omega)R^2/R)}\right)\right]$$

- Laplace variable $\nu = n/p_T$.
- out-of-cone emissions using differential IOE spectrum. Barata, Mehtar-Tani, Soto-Ontoso, KT 2106.07402
- dominated by emissions with $\omega_s \sim \alpha_s^2 \hat{q} L^2$.
- lost energy smeared over the solid angle $R_{\rm rec}$ - free parameter.

see talks by Takacs, Thu 09:00 & Isaksen, Wed 14:40













ENERGY LOSS OF FULL JET



K. Tywoniuk (Bergen U.)

Mehtar-Tani, KT 1707.07361; Mehtar-Tani, Pablos, KT PRL 127 (2021)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{jet}}}{\mathrm{d}p_T} = Q_{>}(p_T, R)\hat{\sigma}_{AA \to jet}$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta)) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big[Q_j(zp,\theta) Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) - Q_k((1-z)p,\theta) \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} p_{ij}(z) \Theta_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \Phi_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \Phi_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \Phi_{\mathrm{in}} \Big] dz = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}$$

 non-linear evolution equation counting all in-medium & resolved splittings to compute full jet quenching.

initial condition $Q_i(p,0) = Q_{>.rad}^{(0)}(p_T) \times Q_{el}^{(0)}(p_T) \times \dots$

Linearized solution: $Q_i(p_T, R) = Q_{>,i}^{(0)}(p_T, R) e^{(Q_g^{(0)} - 1)\Omega_{in}}$













Mehtar-Tani, Pablos, KT Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021); Takacs, KT 2103.14676



- collinear factorization w/nPDF (EPS09)
- $\log \frac{1}{R}$ resummation (AO DGLAP)
- full resummation of **radiative** and **elastic** processes in the medium
- sampling of geometry and medium evolution (VISHNU) Shen, Qiu, Song, Bernhard, Bass, Heinz 1409.8164
- only two free parameters: g_{med} and R_{rec}





CONE-SIZE DEPENDENCE



K. Tywoniuk (Bergen U.)

Mehtar-Tani, Pablos, KT PRL 127 (2021) M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS) 1805.05635 S. Acharya et al. (ALICE) 1909.09718 CMS-PAS-HIN-18-014

- main uncertainties for $R \leq 0.6$:
 - perturbative sector (vacuum-like emissions + medium-induced $\omega > \omega_s$) dominates!
 - higher-twist contributions at IOE-NLO negligible.
 - details of thermalization/recovery (R_{rec}) important at $R \gtrsim 0.6$.
 - excellent agreement with existing experimental data!



Flowing to v_2 resolving path length dependence







AZIMUTHAL ANGLE DEPENDENCE

- flow @ high- p_T : sensitivity to path length.
- studied since a long time (puzzles...).
- for one single color charge: $v_2/e \sim \partial \log R_{AA}/\partial \log p_T$.
 - works for hadron, too small for jets... Arleo, Falmagne 2212.01324
- additional effect for jets: $v_2 \sim [\Omega_{in}(L) \Omega_{in}(L + \Delta L)](Q_g 1).$
 - sensitive to resolution effects!

Mehtar-Tani, Pablos, KT (to appear)

$v_2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_{AA}(L) - R_{AA}(L + \Delta L)}{R_{AA}(L) + R_{AA}(L + \Delta L)}$

$$e \sim rac{\Delta L}{2L}$$

Wang PRC (2001); Noronha-Hostler et al. (2016); Andres et al. 1902.03231; Barreto et al. 2208.02061;...









AZIMUTHAL ASYMMETRY



 \mathcal{O}

Mehtar-Tani, Pablos, KT (to appear)

$$\frac{v_2^{\text{jet}}}{e} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{v_2^{\text{parton}}}{e} & \text{for } R < \theta_c \\ \frac{v_2^{\text{parton}}}{e} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{\alpha}\log\frac{p_T}{\omega_c}(1-Q_g) & \text{for } R > \theta_c \end{cases}$$

- jet v_2 receives additional contribution from resolution effects.
- full simulation yields excellent agreement with experimental data.
- **prediction**: cone-size dependence vs centrality reveal sensitivity to coherence angle (grouping).



11



APPROXIMATE CASIMIR SCALING OF v_2



see talk by Pablos, Wed 11:10

K. Tywoniuk (Bergen U.)

$\frac{v_2^g}{v_2^q} \approx \frac{N_c}{C_F} \quad \checkmark \quad Q_g \approx (Q_q)^{N_c/C_F}$

- flow scales with color factors.
- correlation between R_{AA} and v_2
- tuning the quark fraction by comparing flow in
 - inclusive and γ -triggered events
 - as a function of jet rapidity





Summary jet quenching & flow

- medium controls simultaneously: energy loss, me.dium recoil and jet resolution connected through \hat{q}
- resummation framework describe the data across the **board:** p_T , centrality, and R dependence provides a basis for more precision computations.
- azimuthal dependence: additional handle on length dependence & sensitivity to coherence angle.



Summary jet quenching & flow

- medium controls simultaneously: energy loss, me.dium recoil and jet resolution connected through \hat{q}
- resummation framework describe the data across the **board:** p_T , centrality, and R dependence provides a basis for more precision computations.
- azimuthal dependence: additional handle on length dependence & sensitivity to coherence angle.

A huge thanks to Dani Pablos & Yacine Mehtar-Tani for collaboration on the project!





Any questions?





 γ -TAGGED JET R_{AA}



see talk by McGinn, Wed 09:00

- work by Adam Takacs and Dani Pablos
- γ-tagging give quarkenriched sample of jets
- but slope is much smaller complicated interplay!

