Hard parton dispersion in the quarkgluon plasma, non-perturbatively



Jacopo Ghiglieri, SUBATECH, Nantes in collaboration with G. Moore, P. Schicho, N. Schlusser and E. Weitz

Hard Probes 2023, Aschaffenburg, March 28 2023











In this talk

- Medium-induced radiation and the asymptotic mass m_{∞}
- The asymptotic mass, classical modes and convergence
- Interplay of lattice EQCD and pQCD for m_{∞}
- Based on
 - Moore Schlusser PRD102 (2020)
 - JG Moore Schicho Schlusser JHEP02 (2021)
 - JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz in progress

Medium-induced radiation



- Key ingredient
 - in the description of jet modification
 - *thermalisation* Baier Mueller Schiff Son (2001)

• in thermalisation&transport: effective number-violating $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ process, efficient chemical equilibration and energy transport, bottom-up

Medium-induced radiation

Probability I: vacuum DGLAP x emission vertices x transverse diffusion

$$\frac{dI}{dx} = \frac{\alpha_s P_{1 \to 2}(x)}{[x(1-x)E]^2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{t_1 < t_2} dt_1 dt_2$$

• Transverse diffusion under this Hamiltonian

 $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{b}}^2}{2x(1-x)E} + \sum_{i} \frac{m^2}{2E_i} - i\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{b}, x\boldsymbol{b}, (1-x)\boldsymbol{b})$

Real part: phase accumulation (with in-medium masses

Imaginary part: Wilson lines encoding scattering kernel with the medium

Baier Dokshitzer Mueller Peigne Schiff, Zakharov (1995-97) AMY (2001) ₄







Hard partons through the medium • Imagine a hard quark propagating through a medium with $p^+ \equiv \frac{p^0 + p^z}{2} \gg T$. Dispersive and dissipative interactions qA^{-} $q^2 A_{\perp}^2 / n^+$

- qA^-
- $\mathcal{C}(k_{\perp}) \sim g^2 \int_{O} G^{--}(Q) \delta(q^-) \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp} \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})$

• The mass shift is then $m_{\infty}^2 = g^2 T^2/3$ for a hard quark close to the mass shell Klimov (1981-82) Weldon (1982)



The asymptotic mass



Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass



• Half of the bosonic integral comes from the $q \leq T$ region

Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass



• We can then expect large contributions from soft classical gluons

Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass



The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively





The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively

- From Feynman diagrams to EFT operators, concentrate on Z_{σ}
 - $Z_{\rm g} \equiv \frac{1}{d_{\Lambda}} \left\langle v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha \mu} \frac{1}{(v \cdot D)^2} v_{\nu} F^{\nu}{}_{\mu} \right\rangle$ $= \frac{2}{d_{\Lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}LL \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle U(-\infty; L) v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha \mu} (-\infty; L) v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha} (-\infty; L) v_{$
- Breakthrough: soft classical modes at space-like separations become Euclidean and time-independent. Light-like limit possible, see JG Weitz (2022) for caveats in the case of \hat{q} . Talk by Weitz later
- Horrible HTL perturbative calculation or extremely challenging 4D lattice on Tm_D the light-cone become 3D Electrostatic QCD (EQCD). NLO $\delta Z_g = 2\pi$ Caron-Huot (2008)

$$\left\langle L\right\rangle U(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$$

The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively

• From Feynman diagrams to EFT operators, concentrate on Z_g



- **Our strategy**: lattice EQCD for $L \gtrsim 1/m_D$, pQCD for $L \leq 1/m_D \sim 1/gT$ What does it mean in practice?
- Recently: continuum-extrapolated EQCD lattice data for the scattering kernel and merging with pQCD Moore Schlusser **PRD101** (2020) Moore Schlichting Schlusser Soudi **JHEP2110** (2021) Schlichting Soudi **PRD105** (2022)

$$\left\langle L(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$$

EQCD

$$Z_{\rm g} = \frac{2}{d_A} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}LL \,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big\langle U(-\infty;L)\Big\rangle$$

$$S_{\text{EQCD}} = \int_{\vec{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{ij} F_{ij} + \operatorname{Tr} \left[D_i, \Phi \right] \left[D_i, \Phi \right] + m_D^2 \operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2 + \lambda_E (\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2)^2 \right\}$$

Kajantie Laine Rummukainen Shaposhnikov (1995-97) Braaten Nieto (1994-95)

perturbatively at all orders. But how?

 $\left| v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha\mu}(L) U(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$

• EQCD is the *dimensionally-reduced* (3D) EFT for the classical modes, which correspond to the Euclidean zero modes. 3D SU(3) + adjoint Higgs ($A_0 \rightarrow \Phi$)

• By putting EQCD on the lattice we can get the classical contribution non-





$$Z_{\rm g} = \frac{2}{d_A} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}LL \,\mathrm{Tr}\left\langle U(-\infty;L)v_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu}(L) \,U(L;0) \,v_\nu F^\nu \,\mu(0)U(0;-\infty)\right\rangle$$

- In practice, we get continuum-extrapolated results for $\operatorname{Tr}\left\langle U(-\infty; L)F(L) U(L; 0) F(0)U(0; -\infty) \right\rangle_{\text{EOCD}}$ at a few discrete values of *L*. Moore Schlusser PRD102 (2020) JG Moore Schicho Schlusser JHEP02 (2021)
- We need to match to the 4D continuum, since EQCD has the wrong UV
- Start by computing the EQCD correlator to NLO









EQCD



EQCD results



EQCD results



- IR tails modeled by non-perturbative exp. falloff (magnetic screening)
- UV tails handled by perturbative EQCD

JG Moore Schicho Schlusser (2021)

Matching to full QCD

- Integration UV-divergent $(L \rightarrow 0)$
- EQCD super-renormalizable, $\langle FF \rangle$
- is easily verified for the power law, that can simply be subtracted
- $-c_2 \frac{g^2 T}{I^2} \theta(L_0 L)$ and integrate numerically the UV-subtracted EQCD data

$$Z_{g}^{EQCD} = \frac{T}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dL L \left(\langle EE \rangle - \langle BB \rangle - \langle EB \rangle \right)$$
$$F(L \to 0) = c_{0} \frac{1}{L^{3}} + c_{2} \frac{g^{2}T}{L^{2}} + \dots$$

• Only the first two terms give rise to power-law and log divergences. They must cancel with the IR limits of a bare calculation in full thermal QCD. This

• For the log in a first stage we introduce an intermediate cutoff regulator

JG Moore Schicho Schlusser (2021)





Matching to full QCD

thermal QCD



- Only diagram *c* matters in Feynman gauge
- Translated the cutoff to dimensional regularisation. UV pole of EQCD cancels IR pole of QCD, leaving behind a $g^2 T^2 \ln(T/m_D)$ term. Regulator dependence gone! Regulator-independent classical contribution negative

• Proper handling of the log divergence requires the two-loop calculation in



JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz in progress





Matching to full QCD

thermal QCD



- Only diagram *c* matters in Feynman gauge
- Remainder of the calculation suggests emergence of possible (double-)logarithmic enhancements in the jet's energy

• Proper handling of the log divergence requires the two-loop calculation in



JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz in progress





- Large classical contributions very important for many observables
- Methodology of pQCD+lattice EQCD to capture hard modes perturbatively and classical modes at all orders. Successful for \hat{q}
- Work in progress for m_{∞}^2 , important ingredient for medium-induced emissions, kinetic theory&transport, photon production







The asymptotic mass and the photon rate



$$(2\pi)^3 \frac{d^3 \Gamma_{\gamma}}{d^3 k} \bigg|_{\text{coll}} = \mathcal{A}(k) C_{\text{coll}}(k)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(k) = \alpha_{\rm EM} g^2 C_F T^2 \frac{n_{\rm F}(k)}{2k} \sum_f Q_f^2 d_f$$

JG Hong Kurkela Lu Moore Teaney (2013)



The asymptotic mass and \hat{q}



$$Z_{\rm g} = \frac{2}{d_A} \int_0^\infty dLL \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle U(-\infty; L) v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha \mu}(L) U(L; 0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0; -\infty) \right\rangle$$

$$S_{\rm EQCD} = \int_{\vec{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{ij} F_{ij} + \operatorname{Tr} [D_i, \Phi] [D_i, \Phi] + m_D^2 \operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2 + \lambda_E (\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2)^2 \right\}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T} = 100 \, \text{GeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 100 \, \text{GeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}} \int_{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{\mathcal{T} = 250 \, \text{MeV}}^{$$



Perturbative expansions



scale T

 $\hat{q}(\mu_{\hat{q}} \sim T) = \alpha_s C_F T m_D^2 \left(\ln \frac{T^2}{\mu_h^2} + \right)$

+

scale
$$g^2T$$

$$\operatorname{scale} gT \qquad \operatorname{scale} g^2T$$
$$- c_T^{(0)} + \alpha_s C_F T m_D^2 \ln \frac{\mu_h^2}{m_D^2}$$
$$+ \alpha_s^2 C_F C_A T^2 m_D c_{gT}^{(1)}$$