Quarkonia dynamics in the Quark-Gluon Plasma with a quantum master equation

Stéphane Delorme

(under grant no. 2019/34/E/ST2/00186)

11th International Conference on Hard and Electromagnetic Probes of High-Energy Nuclear Collisions

Collaborators:

- Pol-Bernard Gossiaux
- Thierry Gousset
- Jean-Paul Blaizot
- Aoumeur Daddi Hammou



THE HENRYK NIEWODNICZAŃSKI INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES



Static screening

 $\label{eq:tau} \begin{array}{l} T \neq 0 \rightarrow Suppression \mbox{ of color attraction} \\ \\ \mbox{Melting of pairs at high T} \\ \Rightarrow \mbox{Suppression} \end{array}$

Dynamical processes

Collisions with medium partons

 \rightarrow Pair dissociation

 \Rightarrow Suppression



Stéphane Delorme - HP2023 - March 29th 2023

Quarkonium in heavy-ion collisions



Recombination

- Initially uncorrelated heavy quarks form a quarkonium
- Can happen below the dissociation temperature
- Essential to have a formalism that can treat this effect

Still a challenge for open quantum systems

Open quantum systems



Quantum Master Equation (Quantum Brownian Regime)



1D Potential



- Based on a 3D potential inspired from Lattice results D. Lafferty, A. Rothkopf (2020)
- Real part: parametrization to reproduce 3D mass spectra
- Imaginary part: separated in a coulombic and string part, aims at reproducing 3D decay widths R. Katz, S.D, P-B. Gossiaux (2022)

Charmonium dynamics at fixed temperature



- Initial 1S-like singlet state at T = 400 MeV
- Octet populated as a dipole
- Delocalization of initial state along s = s' axis
- Remaining central correlation

Charmonium dynamics at fixed temperature



- Instantaneous projections on vacuum eigenstates
- 2S-like state first populated from 1S-like then population of 1P-like (different types of transitions)
- Decay phase afterwards, with same decay rate for all states

What happens in a more realistic setting?

Charmonium dynamics in a dynamical medium



Cooling medium following a Björken profile

•
$$T(t) = T_0 \left(\frac{1}{1+t}\right)^{1/3}, \quad T_0 = 400 \text{ MeV}$$

- 1S-like state less suppressed due to the cooling
- Inversion of 2S/1P populations
- Similar global evolution

What about bottomonia?

Bottomonium system

- ► 3 different initial states:

 - Mixture of S and P states: $\Psi(x) \propto e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} (1 + a_{odd} \frac{x}{\sigma})$ $\sigma = 0.045 \text{ fm} \quad a_{odd} = 3.5$ (see talk by P-B Gossiaux from HP2016)

PRELIMINARY STUDY

- 4 different medium settings
 - Fixed temperature T = 400 MeV
 - Average temperature profiles obtained from EPOS4 for three different centrality classes: 0-10%, 20-30% and 40-50% with |y| < 2.4 (CMS conditions)



Bottomonium dynamics at fixed temperature



- 1S-like reduced by a factor 100
- Factor 2 between 1S and 2S

- Similar 2S/1S ratio
- Inversion of populations

 1S (2S) evolution similar to the evolution with the 1S (2S) initial state

Stéphane Delorme - HP2023 - March 29th 2023

Bottomonium dynamics in a dynamical medium (0-10% profile)



- \Rightarrow 1S \rightarrow 2S feeding reduced
- Factor >100 between 1S and 2S

► 1P not ordered ⇒ far from statistical equilibrium Similar P(t_f)/P(t₀) as with the other initial states

Bottomonium dynamics in a dynamical medium



- Reduction of suppression for more peripheral profiles
- "R_{AA}" of 1S seems too high, 2S too low
- Effect of the imaginary potential too strong
 - \Rightarrow Possible way to constrain potentials

Conclusions and perspectives

- Direct resolution of quantum master equations
- Progressive decoherence of the density operator
- Preliminary study of bottomonium dynamics with realistic temperature profiles from EPOS4
- Reduction of suppression for more peripheral collisions
- Study using multiple temperature profiles per centrality (not just averaged)
- ► Global effort of comparison between theoretical models (EMMI RRTF)

Back-up

Quantum Master Equation

•
$$n_x^a$$
: color charge density
 $n_x^a = \delta(x - r) t^a \otimes \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I} \otimes \delta(x - r) \tilde{t}^a$

▶ Can recover \mathcal{L}_3 from \mathcal{L}_2 by performing:

$$\left(\left\{n_{x}^{a}n_{x'}^{a},\mathcal{D}\right\}-2n_{x}^{a}\mathcal{D}n_{x'}^{a}\right) \longrightarrow \left\{\left(n_{x}^{a}-\frac{i}{4T}\dot{n}_{x}^{a}\right)\left(n_{x'}^{a}+\frac{i}{4T}\dot{n}_{x'}^{a}\right),\mathcal{D}\right\}-2\left(n_{x}^{a}+\frac{i}{4T}\dot{n}_{x}^{a}\right)\mathcal{D}\left(n_{x'}^{a}-\frac{i}{4T}\dot{n}_{x'}^{a}\right)$$

▶ Additionnal terms
$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_4$$

1D Potential



- Very good agreement for the mass spectra
- Good agreement for the decay widths, differences due to the large distance behaviour of the imaginary part

Asymptotic Wigner distribution



- $\sqrt{< p^2 >}$ does not scale as $\sqrt{\frac{MT}{2}}$ (dotted lines)
- ▶ Equilibrium limit modified by \mathcal{L}_4
- ► At large distances, scaling as $\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}\frac{MT}{2}}$ with $\gamma = \frac{\tilde{W}^{(4)}(0)}{16MT\tilde{W}''(0)}$ (dashed lines)

Stéphane Delorme - HP2023 - March 29th 2023